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#### Abstract

Tributylstannyl)-1-penten-3-one is prepared in $50 \%$ overall yield from the readily available 3-((triethylsilyl)-oxy)-1,4-pentadiene. Use of this reagent in the Robinson annulation reaction provides $\alpha$-stannylmethyl enones in very good yields. Cerium-mediated 1,2 reduction followed by acylation affords the corresponding allylic acetate. Both classes of compounds undergo specific $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2^{\prime}$ oxidation with lead tetraacetate, mCPBA, and halogenating agents at the tertiary center of the allylic stannane to initially afford exocyclic olefins. Rearrangement of the allylic acetates and allylic halides subsequently provides the isomeric endocyclic enone bearing a functionalized methyl group. Further chemistry includes Birch reduction of an $\alpha$-stannylmethyl enone to a saturated $\alpha$-stannylmethyl ketone as well as application of the Robinson annulation strategy to a hydrophenanthrene system. Attempts to effect additional Robinson annulation reactions on substrates already bearing the tributylstannane moiety either fail or proceed in very poor yield.


## Introduction

In conjunction with our program to prepare highly oxygenated terpenoids (cf. azadirachtin (1), ${ }^{1}$ Scheme I), we have reported the attempted application of $\beta^{\prime}$-silylethyl vinyl ketone ( $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{b}_{1}$ ) as a synthon for hydroxyethyl vinyl ketone (4a) in the Robinson annulation reaction. ${ }^{2}$ Synthesis of $\beta^{\prime}$-silylated ethyl vinyl ketone (EVK) reagents $4 b_{1-3}$ (Scheme II) is readily accomplished by metalation of silyl ether 5 by the method of the Oppolzer, ${ }^{3}$ followed by treatment of the resultant anion with the appropriate chlorosilanes to provide dienylic silanes $6 \mathrm{~b}_{1-3}$ in $56-85 \%$ yield. Fluoride-mediated desilylation of $6 \mathrm{~b}_{1-3}$, again using the method of Oppolzer, ${ }^{3}$ smoothly provides the three reagents $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{b}_{1-3}$. Extension of this method by quenching of the dienylic anion from 5 with tributylstannyl chloride affords dienylic stannane 6 c , which can be uneventfully transformed to $\beta^{\prime}$-stannylethyl enone 4 c using the same methodology (Scheme II). ${ }^{4}$

It rapidly became apparent that the $\beta^{\prime}$-silylated EVK reagents $4 \mathbf{b}_{1-3}$ were not especially well-suited for the Robinson annulation reaction. While monoannulation product $10 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ could be isolated in $59 \%$ yield upon reaction of $4 b_{1}$ with $\beta$-ketoester 3 , the isolation of $25 \%$ yield of ester $9 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ (after diazomethane treatment of acid $\mathbf{8} b_{1}$ ) indicated that the initial Michael adduct $7 b_{1}$ had suffered hydroxide-mediated retro-Claisen reaction competitive to the aldol-dehydration process. Unfortunately, all attempts to employ $\mathbf{1 0 b}_{1}$ (Scheme III) as substrate for a second annulation reaction using sodium methoxide in methanol at reflux in the presence of EVK did not give any of the desired tricyclic derivative $\mathbf{1 2 b}_{1}$ but
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## Scheme I


$40\left(x=\mathrm{Sin}_{3}\right)$
$4 e\left(x=\mathrm{SnBl}_{3}\right)$

## Scheme II



Scheme III

exclusively yielded $\mathbf{1 2 H}$. Control studies reveal that $\mathbf{1 2 H}$ arose through the intermediacy of $\mathbf{1 0 H}$, protiodesilylation of allyl silane $\mathbf{1 0 b}_{1}$ being faster than the second annulation reaction. Other conditions either led to no reaction (DBU or potassium tert-butoxide/tert-butyl alcohol/THF reflux) or provided mixtures of products devoid of the desired silyl compound $\mathbf{1 2 b}_{1}$ (Scheme III).

Since desilylation of intermediate 10 results from attack of alkoxide (and/or water) at the silyl moiety, reagents $\mathbf{4 b}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{3}}$ (Scheme III) were also tested in the annulation reaction. As can be seen from Table I, this modification has conferred greater stability characteristics upon the silyl moiety; unfortunately this comes at the expense of the intramolecular aldol-dehydration

Table I. Reactions of $\beta$-Ketoester $\mathbf{3}$ with Reagents $4 b_{1-3}$ and $\mathbf{4 c}$

| SM | yield of $9(\%)$ | yield of $\mathbf{1 0}(\%)$ | yield of $\mathbf{1 0 H}(\%)$ | yield of $\mathbf{1 1}(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4} \mathrm{b}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{9} \mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 b _ { 1 }} 59$ | trace | trace |
| $\mathbf{4} \mathrm{b}_{2}$ | $9 \mathrm{~b}_{2} 30$ | $10 \mathrm{~b}_{2} 41$ | 5 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{4} \mathrm{~b}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{9} \mathrm{~b}_{3} 60$ | $10 \mathrm{~b}_{3}$ trace | trace | 22 |
| $\mathbf{4 c}$ | $\mathbf{9 c} 3.3$ | $\mathbf{1 0 c} 76$ | 1.0 | 0 |

## Scheme IV


step, which has slowed to the point where deacylation (to 11) and retro-Claisen reactions (to8) have become the dominant processes.

## Results and Discussion

Faced with the aforementioned constraints upon silyl reagent reactivity combined with hydrolytic instability, we elected to abandon the silicon-based reagents in favor of $\beta^{\prime}$-stannylethyl reagent 4 c , since stannanes are known to be more resistant to hydrolytic cleavage than are silanes. ${ }^{5}$ Synthesis of 4 c is readily accomplished by the Oppolzer technology as previously described in Scheme II. ${ }^{3}$

Reaction of $\beta$-ketoester 3 with reagent 4 c ( 1.05 equiv) with 2 equiv of potassium carbonate in methanol at reflux for 1.5 h afforded enone 10 c in $76 \%$ yield (Table I, Scheme IV). ${ }^{6}$ Ceriummediated borohydride reduction ${ }^{7}$ of 10 c provided an $87: 13$ mixture of allylic alcohols which were separated by chromatography to provide $\beta$-alcohol 13 in $67 \%$ yield. Conversion of 13 to acetate 14 was uneventful (97\%).

Table II details the results of subjecting bicyclic allyl stannanes 10 c and 14 to a series of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} 2^{\prime}$ oxidation reactions (Scheme V). These substrates underwent successful oxidation with mCPBA, ${ }^{8}$ $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}{ }^{9} \mathrm{Br}_{2},{ }^{10}$ and chloreal ${ }^{11}$ while attempts at using $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ (no reaction), ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ in the presence of methanol or morpholine, ${ }^{13.14}$ and ceric ammonium nitrate ${ }^{15,16}$ were unsatisfactory.

As can be seen from Table II, the kinetic product in all cases appears to be the bridgehead oxidized olefin 15. The ease of
(5) Herndon, J. W.; Wu, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 6461.
(6) Analysis of the reaction residues provides the retro-Claisen product 9c (3.3\%), resulting from the initial Michael reaction adduct of 3 and $4 c$, as well as $1 \%$ of the product resulting from destannylation of $10 c$. See ref 2 .
(7) Luche, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 2226.
(8) (a) Bakale, R. P.; Scialdone, M. A.; Johnson, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6729. (b) Ueno, Y.; Sano, H.; Okawara, M. Synthesis 1980, 1011. (c) Nishida, A.; Shibasaki, M.; Ikegami, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4819. (d) Shibasaki, M.; Suzuki, M.; Torisawa, Y.; Ikegami, S. Chem. Lett. 1983, 1303. (e) Andrianome, M.; Häberle, K.; Delmond, B. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 1079. (f) Hideg, K.; Sár, C.; Hankovszky, O. H.; Jerkovich, G. Synthesis 1991, 616.
(9) (a) Yamamoto, M.; Izukawa, H.; Saiki, M.; Yamada, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 560. (b) Nakatani, K.; Isoe, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 5335. (c) Nishiyama, H.; Arai, H.; Ohki, T.; Itoh, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 5310. (d) Yamamoto, M.; Irie, S.; Miyasha, M.; Kohmoto, S.; Yamada, K. Chem. Lett. 1989, 221. (e) Yamamoto, M.; Irie, S.; Arase, T.; Kohmoto, S.; Yamada, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. 1990, 1492. (f) Matsymoto, M.; Arai, H.; Sakaguchi, H.; Nishama, H.; Itoh, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 1599.
(24) (a) Jousseaume, B.; Villeneuve, P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 513. (b) Ochiai, M.; Iwaki, S.; Ukita, T.; Matsuura, Y.; Shiro, M.; Nagao, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4606. (c) Herndon, J.; Wu, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 6461. (d) Ye, J.; Shin, D. S.; Bhatt, R. K.; Swain, P. A.; Falck, J. R. Syn. Lett. 1993, 205.
(11) (a) Hiegel, G. A.; Nalbandy, M. Synth. Commun. 1992, 22, 1589. (b) deGroot, A.; Peperzak, R. M.; Vader J. Synth. Commun. 1987, $87,1607$. (c) Cohen, T.; Kosarych, Z.; Suzuki, K.; Yu, L-C. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 2965. (d) Hiegel, G. A.; Peyton, K. B. Synth. Commun. 1985, 15, 385. (e) Mura, Jr., A. J.; Bennett, D. A.; Cohen, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 433.
(12) (a) Still, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 4186. (b) Still, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 4836. (c) Still, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 2493. (d) Itoh, A.; Saito, T.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1981, 54, 1456. Manganese dioxide was activated by the method of Goldman, I. M. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 1979.
subsequent rearrangement of 15 to the thermodynamic endocyclic olefin 16 was a function of the substrate (enone versus allylic acetate) as well as the leaving group. For example, monitoring a solution of chloro enone 15 d in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ revealed complete isomerization to endocyclic chloromethyl enone 16 d after 18 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Presumably this is an acid-catalyzed process involving the intermediacy of enol 18. Tertiary allylic acetate 15 b is also very prone to acid-catalyzed rearrangement to $\mathbf{1 6 b}$. In view of the differential leaving group ability of chloride and acetate, it was initially surprising that the rearrangement of $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ proceeded with about equal facility to that of chloride 15d. In order to explain the ease of this process, it is proposed that tertiary acetate 15b undergoes rearrangement via dioxolenium ion 19 (Scheme VI). Alternatively, an acid-catalyzed (carbonyl-protonated) 3.3 sigmatropic rearrangement seems equally reasonable. ${ }^{17}$ Consistent with the role of the carbonyl group in these rearrangements, it is noted that compounds $\mathbf{1 5 h}$ and 15 f do not undergo rearrangement under comparable conditions (see Table II).
It was observed that reaction of 14 with 1 equivalent of mCPBA in ether smoothly affords tertiary alcohol 15 e in $75 \%$ yield (Scheme VII), while conducting this reaction in methylene chloride with 2.2 equiv of mCPBA provides epoxy alcohol 17 in $86 \%$ yield as the only detectable reaction product, consistent with the expectations of a directed epoxidation ${ }^{18}$ process. Because of the considerable stability of the tertiary allylic alcohols, the peracid oxidation and the lead tetraacetate-isomerization reaction are complementary with respect to the regiochemical introduction of the oxygen functionality. This simple reaction sequence should see considerable synthetic application, since enones such as $15 a-\mathrm{d}$ and $16 a-d$ are prized for their high $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ reactivity. ${ }^{19}$ Moreover, stannyl-substituted allyl carboxylates related to 14 may serve as substrates for palladium-mediated trimethylenemethane annulation reactions. ${ }^{20}$
Initial investigations of extending this chemistry to the tricyclic series shown in Scheme VIII reveals several additional features. Treatment of bicyclic enone $10 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O})^{2.21}$ with reagent
(13) (a) Takeda, T.; Inoue, T.; Fujiwara, T. Chem. Lett. 1988, 985. (b) Mizuno, K.; Yasueda, M.; Otsuji, Y. Chem. Lett. 1981, 229.
(14) Evidence for significant formation of allylic ethers or allylic amines was not obtained in these reactions; allylic bromides $16 \mathrm{c}(60 \%$ ) and 16 g (30\%) were obtained in the methanol reaction, while the allylic stannane starting materials were recovered in $>85 \%$ yield in the presence of 50 equiv. morpholine after 10 hr at reflux in THF.
(15) Hanessian, S.; Léger, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 3115.
(16) Noaldehyde or dimethyl acetal was obtained. The only major products isolated from the reaction of 10 c and 14 with 10 equiv of ceric ammonium nitrate in methanol for 5 min at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were the destannylated endocyclic primary nitrite esters in $60 \%$ and $50 \%$ yields, respectively.
(17) It should be noted that palladium(II) catalysis of the 3.3 sigmatropic rearrangement has been previously observed (Overman, L. E.; Knoll, F. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 79, 321. Grieco, P. A.; Takigawa, T.; Bongers, S. L.; Tanaka, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7587).
(18) (a) Kiegiel, J.; Wovkulich, P. M.; Uskokovic, M. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 6057. (b) Hall, D.; Sevin, A.-F.; Warren, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 7123. (c) Kocovsky, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 2475. (d) Cox, P. J.; Howie, R. A.; Nowicki, A. W.; Turner, A. B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1982, 657. (e) Nakamura, M.; Tsutsui, N.; Takeda, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 3231. (f) Kishi, Y. Aldrichimica Acta 1980, 13, 23. (g) Chavdarian, C. G.; Heathcock, C. H. Synth. Commun. 1976, 6, 277. (h) Roberts, M. R.; Parsons, W. H.; Schlessinger, R. H. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 3970.
(19) For general references to the chemistry of exocyclic enones, see: (a) Nakahira, H.; Ryu, I.; Ikebe, M.; Oku, Y.; Ogawa, A.; Kamble, N.; Sonoda, N.; Murai, S. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 17. (b) Tamura, R.; Watabe, K.-I.; Katayama, H.; Suzuki, H.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 408. (c) Schultz, A. G.; Taylor, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 3937. (d) Review: Shono, T.; Matsumura, Y. Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokaishi 1981, 39, 358. For references to the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}$ chemistry of enone-polarized allylic systems, see: (e) Tamura, R.; Watabe, K.-I.; Katayama, H.; Suzuki, H.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 408. (f) Tamura, R.; Tamai, S.; Suzuki, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 2413. (g) Suzuki, M.; Kawagishi, T.; Noyori, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 1809. (h) Takahashi, T.; Hori, K.; Tsuji, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 119. (i) Cromwell, N. H.; Soriano, D. S.; Doomes, E. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 4983. (j) Smith, A. B., III; Wexler, B. A.; Slade, J. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980; 21, 3237.
(20) (a) Trost, B. M.; King, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 408. (b) Trost, B. M.; Nanninga, T. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1075.
(21) (a) Pariza, R. J.; Kuo, F.; Fuchs, P. L. Synth. Commun. 1983, 13, 243. (b) Pariza, R. J.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2306.

Table II. Oxidative Functionalization of Bicyclic $\alpha$-Stannylmethyl Enone 10c and Bicyclic $\alpha$-Stannylmethyl Allyl Acetate 14

| SM | reagents | conditions | ratio 15 (\% yield) | ratio 16 (\% yield) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10c | mCPBA (1.3 equiv) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2.5 \mathrm{~h}$ | $15 a^{b}>97$ (80) | $16 a<3$ |
| 10c | $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ (2 equiv) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~h}$ | $15 b^{6} \sim 4$ (55) | $16 \mathrm{~b} \sim 1(14)^{\text {c }}$ |
| 15b | PPTs (cat.) + HOAc (0.7 equiv) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux, 3 h | $156<3$ (0) | $16 \mathrm{~b}>97$ (70) |
| 10c | $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ (1 equiv) | $i-\mathrm{PrOH},-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ | $15 \mathrm{c} \sim 90$ (80) | $16 \mathrm{c} \sim 10^{\circ}$ |
| 15c | none | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C},<30 \mathrm{~min}$ | 15c <3 (0) | $16 \mathrm{c}>97$ (quant) |
| 10c | chloreal (1 equiv) | $i-\mathrm{PrOH},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | 15d >97(89) | $16 \mathrm{~d}<3$ |
| 15d | none | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$ | $15 \mathrm{~d}<3$ (0) | 16d $>97$ (quant) |
| 14 | mCPBA (1.1 equiv) | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h}$ | $15 \mathrm{e}>97$ (75) | $16 e<3$ (0) |
| 14 | $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(2$ equiv) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~h}$ | $15 \mathrm{f}>97$ (82) | $16 \mathrm{f}<3$ (0) |
| 158 | PPTs (cat.) + HOAc (0.7 equiv) | $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$, reflux, 12 h | $15 \mathrm{f}>97$ (97) | $16 \mathrm{f}<3$ (0) |
| 14 | $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ (1 equiv) | $i-\mathrm{PrOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ | $15 \mathrm{~g}>97$ (90) | $16 \mathrm{~g}<3$ |
| 15g | $n-\mathrm{Bu} 4_{4} \mathrm{NBr}$ | THF, 40 min | $15 \mathrm{~g}<3$ (0) | $16 \mathrm{~g}>97$ (94) |
| 14 | chloreal (1 equiv) | $i-\mathrm{PrOH},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ | 15h >97 (81) | $16 \mathrm{~h}<3$ |
| 15h | none | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$ | 15h >97 (90) | 16h <3 |

${ }^{a}$ Limit of detection by ${ }^{1}$ H NMR. ${ }^{b}$ Structure verified by X-ray (see supplementary material). ${ }^{c}$ Produced by partial isomerization of $15 b$ under the reaction conditions. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnOAc}$ and $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ do not effect the isomerization. HOAc alone is slower than in combination with PPTs. Estimated from analytical TLC of the $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ reaction mixture. Isomerization of 15 c to 16 c is rapid even in acid-free $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6} .{ }^{f} 15 \mathrm{~g}$ is stable for 24 h in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, but storage in commercial $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ for 1 day produces a mixture of starting material $\mathbf{1 5 g}(28 \%$ ), rearranged bromide $\mathbf{1 6 g}$ ( $50 \%$ ), and tertiary chloride 15h (22\%).

## Scheme V



Scheme VI


Scheme VII


4c in methanolic sodium methoxide at reflux for 20 h followed by esterification of the resulting carboxylic acid with diazomethane provides tricyclic enone 20 in $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ yield. Oxidation of $\mathbf{2 0}$ with lead tetraacetate provides a 4:1 mixture of exocyclic enone 21


## Scheme VIII


( $50 \%$ yield, $>95 \% \alpha$-acetate) which has undergone partial isomerization to the endocyclic isomer 22. Completion of the isomerization can again be effected with the PPTs/HOAc system. By contrast to the 10c to 15a transformation, reaction of 20 with mCPBA in methylene chloride at reflux is quite slow and requires additional oxidant to complete the reaction even in the presence of the radical inhibitor BHT, 22 thereby producing, in addition to $12 \%$ recovered starting material, a mixture of products (23-25) resulting from oxygenation at both $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-faces of the substrate.

Previous experience with the dissolving-metal reduction of bicyclic enones $\mathbf{1 0 H}{ }^{23}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 b}_{1}{ }^{2}$ had revealed that it was possible to efficiently reduce the enone in the presence of the resident ester moiety. Especially striking is the observation that running the same reaction at $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the much more challenging $\alpha$-stannylmethyl enone 10 c provides saturated ketone 28 with minimal production of ketone 26 by competitive reductive cleavage of the allylstannane moiety (Scheme IX). Application of this protocol on tricyclic $\alpha$-stannylmethyl enone 20 was completely unrewarding, providing a complex product mixture which showed no evidence for generation of the target tricyclic ketone 31. This mixture was devoid of ester functionality yet appeared to retain the tributylstannyl group. Consistent with the postulate of ester involvement as the unwanted reaction, it was also observed that attempted reduction of nonstannylated enone 29 also produced a similar product mixture, which again lacked the ester moiety. Therefore, the failure is likely not due to the presence of the tin function but rather due to intramolecular interaction of the incipient radical anion with the ester carbonyl group. While catalytic reduction of compounds related to 29 yields dihydro

[^1]
## Scheme IX



Scheme $\mathbf{X}$

ketones such as $\mathbf{3 0 ,}{ }^{24}$ attempts to effect similar reduction ( $10 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 36 \mathrm{~h}$ ) of $\mathbf{2 0}$ simply serve to return the stannylated enone unchanged.

Attempts to utilize the annulation strategy for preparation of the target enone 2 were at best only partially successful. Heating 10 c in methanol for 12 h in the presence of sodium methoxide and 2.3 equiv of reagent 4 c provides, after diazomethane treatment, an $11 \%$ yield of the requisite bis-stannylated tricyclic enone 32 in addition to large amounts of starting bicyclic enone 10c (Scheme X). Extending the reaction time to 24 h simply serves to consume tricyclic 32 with concomitant production of destannylated enone $29,80 \%$ of 10 c again being recovered. Control reactions serve to demonstrate that enone 10 c is not significantly converted to $\mathbf{1 0 H}$ under these conditions; therefore, it appears that the internal carboxylate moiety may be responsible for the destannylation process (via 33).

In an effort to avoid the carboxylate-mediated destannylation reaction, the axial ester moiety of 10 c was modified to a methoxymethyl group. This was accomplished by reaction with TBDMS-triflate and triethylamine in methylene chloride to provide a $98 \%$ yield of silyl dienyl ether 34 as a mixture of regioisomers which was not separated (Scheme XI). Subsequent reduction with LAH followed by alkylation of the neopentyl alcohol moiety of $\mathbf{3 5}$ with sodium hydride and methyl iodide afforded ether 36 in $70 \%$ yield for the two steps. Cleavage of the silyloxy protecting group was accomplished by reaction with tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF, providing methoxymethyl enone 37 in $60 \%$ yield. Reaction of this material with reagent 4 c in methanolic methoxide for 12 h at reflux returned $70 \%$ of substrate 37. Careful examination of the reaction residues failed to provide any evidence for formation of 38,39 , or destannylated 37. In a final attempt to extend the scope of annulation with reagent 4 c , ketone 28 was recovered in $>90 \%$ yield after being subjected to heating for 20 h in the standard basic medium.

In conclusion, it appears that while Robinson annulation reagent 4 c will provide excellent access to enones bearing the $\alpha$-stannylmethyl moiety, the resultant stannylated enones are far more

[^2]Scheme XI

problematical with regard to serving as substrates for further annulation reactions, likely due to their substantial steric environment. Extension of those strategies employing the $\alpha$-stannylmethyl enone should prove of considerable benefit for the concise synthesis of highly functionalized substrates.

## Experimental Section

General Methods. All reactions were performed under a positive pressure of argon in glassware which was washed with dilute aqueous sodium hydroxide prior to flame drying and which was equipped with rubber septa for the introduction of reagents via syringe. THF and ether were purified by distillation from sodium-benzophenone ketyl under argon in a standing still. Hexane and methylene chloride were maintained in standing stills over calcium hydride. All other recrystallization, chromatographic, and workup solvents were also distilled. Organolithium reagent was analyzed by titration of a solution of menthol in benzene containing $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl as an indicator at room temperature under argon Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel 60 F-254 plates (EM). Flash silica gel chromatography (SGC) was carried out as described by Still. ${ }^{25}$ All compounds reported have been analyzed by exact mass and appear homogeneous by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Proton NMR spectra were recorded on a General Electric QE-300 (300 MHz ) and a Varian Gemini-200 ( 200 MHz ) spectrometer. Carbon NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini $200(50 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectrometer Spectra were determined in chloroform- $d_{1}$ or benzene- $d_{6}$ as noted and are reported in parts per million (ppm) shifts from internal tetramethylsilane ( 0.00 ), chloroform ( 7.26 ), or benzene ( 7.15 ) standards. Splitting patterns are designated as $s$, singlet; d, doublet; $t$, triplet; $q$, quartet; $m$ multiplet; br, broad; OV, overlapping; and cm, complex multiplet. Carbon chemical shifts are reported (ppm) relative to the center line of the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ triplet (77.0) and are denoted as " $e$ " (none or two protons) or " 0 " (one or three protons), as determined from the APT pulse sequence. Compounds of $>95 \%$ purity were characterized on a Finnigan 4000 mass spectrometer and a CEC 21110 B high-resolution mass spectrometer with use of electron impact and chemical ionization, with the molecular ion designated as M. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer spectrophotometer. Microanalyses were performed by the Purdue Chemistry Department Microanalytical Laboratory. All silyl chlorides and tin chloride were purchased from Aldrich.
Phenyldimethylsilyl enone $4 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$. KF (Aldrich, $34.88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added portionwise to a stirred solution of $\boldsymbol{6 b}_{1}{ }^{2}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 5 mL ) at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar. The resulting solution was allowed to react for 3 h at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then poured into water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with saturated NaCl solution and then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel, using $5 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent, to afford $49.1 \mathrm{mg}(75 \%)$ of $4 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ : IR (CCl4) $1680,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 300$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.54-7.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.39-7.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABX}$, $J=18.5,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{dd}), 6.19(1 \mathrm{H}, A B X, J=18.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{dd}), 5.75(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ABX}, J=10.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{dd}), 2.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.31(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$;

[^3]${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 201.50$ (e), 138.42 (e), 136.07 (o), 133.63 (o), 129.16 (o), 127.94 (o), 127.77 (e), 34.11 (e), 9.14 (e), -3.48 (o); MS (EI) $m / z 218,203,135,55 ;$ exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{OSi}(\mathrm{M})$ found 218.1108 (calcd 218.1127).

3-((Triethylsilyl)oxy)-5-(phenyldimethylsilyl)-1,3-pentadiene ( $6 b_{1}$ ). A 1.22 M solution of $\mathrm{sec}-\mathrm{BuLi}(0.93 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in cyclohexane was added dropwise to a stirred 1.5 M solution of triethylsilyl ether $5^{\mathbf{3}}$ (206 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar. After 30 min at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, chlorodimethylphenylsilane $(0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added slowly to the deep-orange solution. After 10 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the decolorized mixture was poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The organic layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo to leave a residue, which was chromatographed on silica gel, using hexane as eluent, to afford 307 mg ( $85 \%$ ) of $6_{1}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.56-7.34(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.18-$ $6.09(1 \mathrm{H}, J=17,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{dd}), 5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, J=17 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 4.88(1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 4.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 1.77(2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 1.04-0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ t), $0.77-0.65(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}), 0.29(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 149.25 (e), 139.59 (e), 136.42 (o), 134.09 (o), 129.48 (o), 128.29 (o), 111.76 (o), 110.44 (e), 78.04 (e), 77.41 (e), 76.77 (e), 15.86 (e), 7.05 (o), 5.85 (e), -2.93 (o); MS (EI) $m / z 332,135$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{OSi}_{2}$ (M) found 332.1989 (calcd 332.1992).

Bicyclic $\alpha$-Silylmethyl Enone $\mathbf{1 0 b}_{1}$ and Retro-Claisen Product $9 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$. To $\beta$-ketoester ${ }^{321 e}(370 \mathrm{mg}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(478 \mathrm{mg}, 3.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 20 mL ) at reflux was slowly added enone $\mathbf{4 b}_{\mathbf{1}}$ ( $565 \mathrm{mg}, 2.6$ mmol ) diluted in methanol ( 5 mL ). The mixture was gently heated at reflux for 12 h . The resulting solution was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The oil was taken up in EtOAc ( 30 mL ) and water ( 20 mL ). The organic layer was separated and the solvent evaporated. Thecrude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane), yielding the desired product $10 b_{1}$ ( 420 mg , $59 \%$ ), along with a trace amount of 10 H and $11 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$. The aqueous layer was acidified with $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ to pH 3 and extracted with EtOAc, and the organic layer was evaporated to give a pale-yellow oil. The residue was reacted with excess diazomethane (prepared from Diazald) and then purified by column chromatography ( $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) to afford 200 mg of retro-Claisen product $\mathbf{9 b}_{1}(25 \%) .10 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ : IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \mathbf{1 7 2 4}$, $1664,1114 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.48-7.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.31-7.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.98-3.78(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.09$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.22-2.66(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.26(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 197.54$ (e), 174.86 (e), 149.49 (e), 138.94 (e), 134.43 (e), 133.72 (o), 129.05 (o), 127.69 (o), 106.80 (o), 64.38 (e), 64.01 (e), 52.14 (o), 48.96 (e), 44.11 (e), 35.10 (e), 34.29 (e), 33.95 (e), 27.56 (e), 15.29 (e), -2.75 (o), -2.87 (o); MS (EI) $m / z 414,399,355$, 135; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M})$ found 414.1863 (calcd 414.1863). 9b $\mathrm{b}_{1}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.5-7.32(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.86(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.64$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.36-1.67(13 \mathrm{H}$, remaining protons, m$), 0.94(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 0.25(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 211.05$ (e), 176.78 (e), 174.47 (e), 138.84 (e), 134.09 (o), 129.59 (o), 128.38 (o), 110.18 (e), 65.43 (e), 65.35 (e), 51.90 (o), 51.82 (o), 40.34 (o), 39.92 (e), 39.47 (e), 37.54 (e), 32.64 (e), 28.92 (e), 27.35 (e), 9.41 (e), -3.08 (o); MS (CI)$m / z 465(M+1), 433,387,325,281,175,159$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{7-}$ $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ found 465.2299 (calcd 465.2309).

5-(Tributylstannyl)-1-penten-3-one (4c). KF (Aldrich, $92 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3$ mmol) was added portionwise to a stirred solution of stannylated silyl dienyl ether $6 \mathrm{c}(866 \mathrm{mg}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar. The resulting solution was allowed to react for 12 h at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then poured into water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with saturated NaCl solution and then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel, using $5 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent, to afford $462 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$ of 4 c and 107 $\mathrm{mg}(15 \%)$ of the Michael adduct of 4 c . 4 c : IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) $1702,1682 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABX}, J=16.7,10 \mathrm{~Hz}$, dd $)$, $6.3(1 \mathrm{H}, A \mathrm{BX}, J=16.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{dd}), 5.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{A} B X, J=10,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, dd), $2.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 1.5-1.2(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.9-0.76(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 202.82$ (e), 136.56 (o), 128.06 (e), 37.41 (e), 29.40 (e), 27.60 (e), 13.88 (o), 9.25 (e), 2.32 (e); MS (EI) $m / z 375,317,291$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{OSn}(\mathrm{M})$ found 371.1700 (calcd 371.1708).

3-((Triethylsilyl)oxy)-5-(tributylstannyl)-1,3-pentadieme (6c). A 1.22 M solution of sec-BuLi ( $2.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in cyclohexane was added dropwise to a stirred 1.5 M solution of triethylsilyl ether 5 ( $496 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5$ mmol ) in dry THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar. After 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, chlorotributyltin ( $0.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly to the deeporange solution. After 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the decolorized mixture was poured into saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The organic layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated
in vacuo to leave a residue, which was chromatographed on silica gel, using hexane as eluent, to afford $856 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$ of 6 c : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.18-6.04(1 \mathrm{H}, J=17,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{dd}), 5.09(1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{d}), 4.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 4.76(1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 1.76(2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, d), $1.53-0.65(42 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 146.70(\mathrm{e})$, 136.28 (o), 116.12 (o), 108.87 (e), 29.63 (e), 27.86 (e), 14.18 (o), 10.18 (e), 9.84 (e), 7.40 (o), 6.18 (e).

Bicyclic $\alpha$-Stannylmethyl Enone 10c. To $\beta$-ketoester $3^{210}$ ( 598 mg , 2.79 mmol ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(848 \mathrm{mg}, 2.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 20 mL ) at room temperature was slowly added enone $4 \mathrm{c}(1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 2.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ diluted in methanol ( 5 mL ). The mixture was gently heated at reflux for 1.5 h . The resulting solution was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The organic residue was diluted with EtOAc, and the organic layer was washed with saturated NaCl solution and then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give a yellow oil, which was purified by column chromatography ( $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to afford 1.2 g of desired product $10 \mathrm{c}(76 \%)$ and 57 mg of retro-Claisen product 9c (3.3\%). 10c: IR (CCl $) 1732,1668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.02-3.9(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.83-2.74$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.58-0.71 (38H, cm); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 198.43$ (e), 175.64 (e) 146.41 (e), 137.85 (e), 107.49 (e), 64.92 (e), 64.58 (e), 52.64 (o), 49.32 (e), 44.97 (e), 35.78 (e), 34.82 (e), 29.30 (e), 27.64 (e), 27.31 (e), 13.91 (o), 10.21 (e), 9.24 (e); MS (EI) $m / z(\mathrm{M}+1) 571,513$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{M})$ found 567.2434 (calcd 567.2445). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Sn}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 56.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.14 ; \mathrm{Sn}, 20.81$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 56.82$; H, 8.39; Sn, 20.51 .

Stannylated Allylic Acetate 14. Enone $10 \mathrm{c}(138 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a 0.4 M solution of $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$ ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then slowly added with stirring. After stirring for 5 h at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was quenched by addition of $5 \%$ $\mathrm{HCl}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc and then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give an oil. NMR showed the ratio of $\beta$-alcohol to $\alpha$-alcohol to be 87:13. The desired $\beta$-alcohol could be separated using column chromatography on silica gel using $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent to afford 92 mg ( $67 \%$ ) of 13: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.99-3.68(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $2.6-0.8(39 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm})$; MS (EI) $m / z(\mathrm{M}+1) 573,555,541$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Sn}$ (M) found 571.2581 (calcd 571.2598 ). The $\beta$-alcohol 13 could be easily protected ( $97 \%$ ) by using standard acetylation conditions ( $\left.\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMAP}\right) .14: \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 1738 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.99-3.86(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.5-2.41(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.01-0.75(37 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 177.16 (e), 171.46 (e), 132.84 (e), 128.45 (e), 108.23 (e), 74.04 (o), 64.75 (e), 64.43 (e), 52.26 (o), 48.42 (e), 44.87 (e), 35.54 (e), 33.93 (e), 29.36 (e), 27.64 (e), 25.82 (e), 25.69 (e), 21.59 (o), 13.88 (o), 12.52 (e), 10.18 (e); MS (EI) $m / z(M+1) 615,555,497$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ found 613.2691 (calcd 613.2704).

Bicyclic Hydroxy Exocyclic Enone 15a. To a solution of enone 10c ( $118 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added portionwise $70 \% \mathrm{mCPBA}$ ( 57 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature. The resultant mixture was stirred under ambient temperature for 2 h . The reaction mixture was then poured into water. The organic layer was washed successively with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and saturated KF solution and then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using 30\% EtOAc in hexane as eluent to afford $49.7 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$ of 15a: IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3388$, $1736,1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 5.45$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 3.99-3.67(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.7-1.63(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 201$ (e), 174.89 (e), 150.94 (e), 118.91 (e), 108.35 (e), 72.54 (e), 64.78 (e), 64.56 (e), 51.92 (o), 51.44 (e), 38.72 (e), 37.22 (e), 30.50 (e), 30.08 (e), 29.54 (e); MS (EI) $m / z(M+1) 297$, 279, 237; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ found 297.1290 (calcd 297.1338); X-ray (supplementary material).

Bicyclic Hydroxy Exocyclic Allylic Acetate 15e. To a solution of enone 14 ( $57.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added $60 \% \mathrm{mCPBA}(29$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resultant mixture was stirred for 7 h at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then poured into water. The organiclayer was washed successively with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and KF solution and then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent to afford $24.6 \mathrm{mg}(75 \%)$ of $15 e$ and 3.4 mg ( $9 \%$ ) of 17. 15e: IR (CCl4) $3460,1742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.11(1 \mathrm{H}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 5.0(1 \mathrm{H}, J=2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{d}), 3.97-3.75(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}), 2.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, 2.2-1.21 (9H, cm); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 174.91$ (e), 170.99 (e), 148.48 (e), 108.54 (e), 107.20 (e), 72.97 (e), 71.82 (o), 64.65 (e), 64.55 (e), 52.52 (e), 51.61 (o), 38.75 (e), 30.82 (e), 30.64 (e), 30.22 (e),
29.44 (e), 21.34 (o); MS (EI)'m/z (M+1) 341, 323, 281, 263; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{7}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ found 341.1594 (calcd 341.1600 ).

Bicyclic Epoxy Alcohol 17. To a solution of enone 14 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.114$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added $70 \% \mathrm{mCPBA}(62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resultant mixture was stirred for 2 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then poured into water. The organic layer was washed successively with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and saturated KF solution and then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent to afford $34 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$ of 17: IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3458,1736$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.51(1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{dd}$ ), $3.96-3.74(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.26(1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 3.13(1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 1.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.13-1.2(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 175.31$ (e), 170.71 (e), 108.51 (e), 73.57 (e), 68.32 (o), 64.76 (e), 64.45 (e), 63.31 (e), 52.93 (e), 51.87 (e), 51.75 (o), 38.52 (e), 30.09 (e), 29.60 (e), 27.29 (e), 26.06 (e), 21.22 (o); MS (EI) $m / z$ (M + 1) 357; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{8}(\mathrm{M}+1$ ) found 357.1538 (calcd 357.1549). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{1} 7 \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, $57.30 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.79$. Found: C, $56.96 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.85 .

Bicyclic Acetoxy Exocyclic Enone 15b and Bicyclic Acetoxy Endocyclic Enone 16b. A mixture of enone $10 \mathrm{c}(79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and lead tetraacetate (LTA) ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature under Ar for 15 h . After the reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, the organic layer was separated and washed with saturated KF solution. The solvent was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give an oil, which was purified by column chromatography ( $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) yielding the kinetic product $15 \mathrm{~b}(26 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%)$ and the thermodynamic product $16 \mathrm{~b}(6.6 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%)$. 15b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.23(1 \mathrm{H}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 5.77(1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 4.02-3.7(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.23-3.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.63-1.93(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm}), 1.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.7-1.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 199.49$ (e), 173.59 (e), 168.93 (e), 143.74 (e), 125.31 (e), 107.73 (e), 83.40 (e), 65.07 (e), 64.78 (e), 52.39 (e), 52.30 (o), 39.59 (e), 36.94 (e), 31.13 (e), 29.75 (e), 25.19 (e), 22.68 (o); MS (EI) $m / z$ (M +1 ) 339,279 ; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{7}(\mathrm{M}+1$ ) found 339.1437 (calcd 339.1444); X-ray (supplementary material). 16b: IR (CCL4) 1734, 1676 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.92$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$ ), $4.04-3.85(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 3.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.0-2.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.8-1.54(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm}), 2.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 196.89$ (e), 174.57 (e), 171.52 (e), 160.98 (e), 131.58 (e), 107.00 (e), 65.09 (e), 64.67 (e), 56.97 (e), 53.01 (o), 49.78 (e), 44.54 (e), 35.08 (e), 34.91 (e), 34.51 (e), 27.64 (e), 21.12 (o); MS (EI) $m / z(M+1) 339,279$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{7}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ found 339.1437 (calcd 339.1444).

Bicyclic Acetoxy Exocyclic Allylic Acetate 15f. A mixture of enone 14 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.163 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and LTA ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 5 mL ) was stirred at room temperature under Ar for 40 h . After the reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, the organic layer was separated and washed with saturated KF solution. The solvent was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give an oil, which was purified by column chromatography ( $40 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) yielding the product $15 \mathrm{f}\left(51 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%\right.$ ): IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) $1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.39(1 \mathrm{H}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 5.32(1 \mathrm{H}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 5.28-5.23$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.97-3.75(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.02-2.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.76-2.64$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.17-1.89(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.71-1.63(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), 1.3-1.1 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.77$ (e), 170.57 (e), 169.06 (e), 142.21 (e), 111.36 (e), 107.89 (e), 83.97 (e), 71.13 (o), 64.78 (e), 64.65 (e), 53.26 (e), 51.80 (o), 39.57 (e), 30.95 (e), 30.43 (e), 29.69 (e), 25.05 (e), 22.17 (o), 21.31 (o); MS (EI) $m / z(\mathrm{M}+1$ ) 383 , 323, 263; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{8}(\mathrm{M}+1$ ) found 383.1698 (calcd 383.1706).

Bicyclic Bromo Endocyclic Enone 16c. $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.145 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a stirred solution of enone $10 \mathrm{c}(83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.145$ mmol ) in isopropyl alcohol (IPA) at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar. The resulting solution was stirred for 10 min at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then poured into water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The solvent was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and KF solutions. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent to afford $42 \mathrm{mg}(80 \%)$ of $16 \mathrm{c}: ~ \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ $1732,1678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 4.02-$ $3.82(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.94-2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.76-1.76(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm}), 1.55$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 195.66$ (e), 174.64 (e), 159.86 (e), 133.67 (e), 106.94 (e), 65.09 (e), 64.66 (e), 53.03 (o), 49.77 (e), 44.29 (e), 34.96 (e), 34.52 (e), 34.44 (e), 27.86 (e), 23.01 (e); MS (EI) $m / z(M+1) 359,281$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ found 358.0409 (calcd 358.0416).

Bicyclic Bromo Exocyclic Allylic Acetate 15g. $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.137$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a stirred solution of enone 14 ( 80 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.131 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in IPA at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar, and the solution was stirred for 1 min . The reaction mixture was then poured into water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The solvent was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and KF solutions. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to given an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent to afford $47.3 \mathrm{mg}(90 \%)$ of 15 g : IR (CCl4) $1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 6.49-6.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.54-3.29$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.13-2.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}), 2.70-2.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}), 2.32-1.67$ ( $7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm}$ ), $1.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.45-1.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 171.08$ (e), 169.52 (e), 147.71 (e), 108.12 (e), 107.29 (e), 80.44 (e), 71.81 (o), 64.74 (e), 64.57 (e), 54.34 (e), 51.71 (o), 41.36 (e), 34.40 (e), 33.97 (e), 33.64 (e), 29.62 (e), 20.97 (o); MS (EI) $m / z(\mathrm{M}+1$ ) 403, $361,345,323,265$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ found 403.0743 (calcd 403.0756).

Bicyclic Bromo Endocyclic Allylic Acetate 16g. To a solution of bromide 15 g ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF was added TBAB ( 16.7 mg , 0.052 mmol ) at room temperature. The resultant mixture was stirred under ambient temperature for 1 h . The reaction mixture was then poured into water. The aqueous layer was extracted twice with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the organic solvent was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent to afford 19.7 mg ( $94 \%$ ) of 16 g : IR (CCL) $1734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.23(1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 3.99-3.86$ $(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.7-2.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.6-2.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.05(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.04-1.47(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.13$ (e), 171.40 (e), 142.77 (e), 129.76 (e), 107.84 (e), 69.64 (o), 64.93 (e), 64.49 (e), 52.48 (o), 48.79 (e), 43.70 (e), 35.64 (e), 32.35 (e), 28.96 (e), 26.10 (e), 25.30 (e), 21.44 (o); MS (CI) $m / z$ (M+1-HOAc) $345,323,265$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{M}+1-\mathrm{HOAc})$ found 343.0535 (calcd 343.0545 ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Br}: \mathrm{C}, 50.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.74 ; \mathrm{Br}, 19.81$. Found: C , 50.32 ; H, 5.70; Br, 19.50.

Bicyclic Chloro Endocyclic Enone 16d. Chloreal ( $13.55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.058$ mmol ) was added portionwise to a stirred solution of enone 10 c ( 30 mg , 0.0531 mmol ) in IPA at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resultant solution was stirred for 30 min at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then poured into water and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The solvent was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and KF solutions. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using $25 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent to afford $14 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 5 d}$. After some time later either in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, the product was converted to the thermodynamic product 16d: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 1732,1678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.4(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$, $4.04-3.8(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.03-1.54(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 195.65$ (e), 174.38 (e), 160.21 (e), 133.58 (e), 106.99 (e), 65.25 (e), 64.83 (e), 53.21 (o), 49.95 (e), 44.63 (e), 35.94 (e), 35.29 (e), 34.96 (e), 34.71 (e), 28.05 (e); MS (EI) $m / z$ (M) 314, 279, 255; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}$ (M) found 314.0918 (calcd 314.0921).
Bicyclic Chloro Exocyclic Acetate 15h. Chloreal ( $6.97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.028$ mmol ) was added portionwise to a stirred solution of enone 14 ( 15.6 mg , 0.0251 mmol ) in IPA at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resultant solution was stirred for 30 min at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then poured into water and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The solvent was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and KF solutions. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to given an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using $25 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent to afford $7.3 \mathrm{mg}(81 \%)$ of 15 h : IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 1742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.94-5.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.23(1 \mathrm{H}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 5.183(1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~d}), 3.98-3.75(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.05-2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.4-1.2$ $(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm}), 2.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 8173.57 (e), 170.65 (e), 146.44 (e), 107.92 (e), 71.02 (o), 64.76 (e), 64.63 (e), 53.71 (e), 52.04 (o), 39.52 (e), 32.45 (e), 31.64 (e), 31.49 (e), 29.01 (e), 21.31 (o); MS (EI) $m / z(M+1) 359,323,299,265$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}$ (M) found 359.1253 (calcd 359.1261 ).

Tricyclic $\alpha$-StannylmethylEnone 20. To 10 mL of methanol was slowly added freshly cut sodium metal ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 2.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After the sodium had completely reacted, enone $10 \mathrm{H}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the yellowish solution was heated to reflux. Stannyl enone $4 \mathrm{c}(441 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.18 mmol ) was added dropwise over 12 h . Heating at reflux was continued for 8 more hours. The resulting solution was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The aqueous layer was separated, acidified with $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ to pH 3 , and extracted with EtOAc, and the organic layer was evaporated to give a pale-yellow oil. This residue was methylated with excess diazomethane (prepared from diazald) and then purified by column chromatography ( $15 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to afford
$594 \mathrm{mg}(87 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 0}$ : IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) $1720,1664,1560 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 3.95-3.87(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.6-0.7$ $(41 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm}), 1.4(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 198.49(\mathrm{e}), 177.36$ (e), 155.69 (e), 142.83 (e), 134.10 (e), 122.90 (o), 107.04 (e), 64.90 (e), 64.43 (e), 52.21 (o), 48.13 (e), 44.21 (e), 41.47 (e), 37.23 (e), 35.25 (e), 34.50 (e), 34.05 (e), 29.28 (e), 27.60 (e), 26.67 (o), 25.99 (e), 13.86 (o), 10.14 (e), 8.81 (e); MS (EI) $m / z(\mathrm{M}+1) 637,579$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Sn}$ (M) found 633.2910 (calcd 633.2914).

Tricyclic Exocyclic Acetoxy Enone 21 and Tricyclic Endocyclic Acetoxy Enone 22. A mixture of enone 20 ( $93 \mathrm{mg}, 0.146 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and LTA ( 148 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at room temperature under Ar for 15 h . After the reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, the organic layer was separated and washed with saturated KF solution. The solvent was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give an oil, which was purified by column chromatography ( $40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexane) yielding the kinetic product 21 ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ ) and the thermodynamic product 22 ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%$ ). 21: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.804(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 4.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.95-3.87(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.59-1.60(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 197.29$ (e), 177.02 (e), 171.58 (e), 170.26 (e), 142.08 (e), 128.05 (e), 124.02 (o), 106.82 (e), 64.99 (e), 64.54 (e), 57.30 (e), 52.39 (o), 48.55 (e), 44.19 (e), 42.07 (e), 37.24 (e), 35.05 (e), 34.95 (e), 33.95 (e), 27.19 (o), 26.17 (e), 21.15 (o); MS (EI) $m / z(\mathrm{M}+1) 405,345$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (M) found 404.1826 (calcd 404.1835). 22: IR (CCL4) $1736,1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 5.76$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 5.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), 3.94-3.70(4H, m), 3.66(3H, s), 2.9-2.8 ( 1 H,$$ m ), $1.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.6-1.5(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 202.27$ (e), 177.55 (e), 169.79 (e), 145.80 (e), 140.08 (e), 124.25 (o), 122.95 (e), 107.17 (e), 87.09 (e), 64.92 (e), 64.41 (e), 52.32 (o), 48.04 (e), 44.98 (e), 44.05 (e), 36.60 (e), 36.17 (e), 31.30 (e), 30.33 (e), 23.06 (e), 21.99 (o), 21.64 (o); MS (EI) $m / z(\mathrm{M}+1) 405,345$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (M) found 404.1831 (calcd 404.1835).

Tricyclic Exocyclic Hydroxy Enone 23. To a solution of enone 20 (51 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added $70 \% \mathrm{mCPBA}$ ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.184 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the presence of $5 \% 2,6$-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resultant mixture was stirred for 4 h at reflux. The reaction mixture was then poured into water. The organic layer was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and saturated KF successively. The solvent was evaporated, and the resulting residue was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent to afford 18 mg of a mixture of $\mathbf{2 3}$ and 24 ( $62 \%, 88: 12$ ), 4 mg of $\mathbf{2 5}$ ( $15 \%$ ), and 6 mg ( $12 \%$ ) of starting material 20. 23 and 24 ( $88: 12$ ): IR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ) 3460, 1720, 1700 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 5.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 5.29$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}$ ), $3.94-3.90(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 3.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.7-1.2(12 \mathrm{H}$, cm ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 203.52$ (e), 177.55 (e), 150.37 (e), 140.22 (e), 125.73 (o), 119.61 (e), 107.37 (e), 77.48 (e), 64.93 (e), 64.58 (e), 52.33 (o), 48.29 (e), 44.32 (e), 44.24 (e), 36.41 (e), 36.20 (e), 30.51 (e), 30.22 (e), 28.10 (e), 21.59 (o); MS (CI) $m / z(\mathrm{M}+1) 361,345$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ found 362.1722 (calcd 362.1729).

Dihydro $\beta$-Tributylstannyl Ketone 28. Into a $50-\mathrm{mL}$ three-neck flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer, dry-ice condenser, and gas inlet tube, cooled in a dry-ice/acetone bath, was condensed 10 mL of ammonia. Lithium wire ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 6.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the solution was stirred for 1 h at that temperature to ensure complete dissolution of the metal. Liquid nitrogen was added to the cold bath to further cool the flask to between -90 and $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $385 \mathrm{mg}(0.676 \mathrm{mmol})$ of enone 10 c and 55 mg of $t$-BuOH in THF was added via syringe. The reaction was maintained at this temperature for 1 h . Addition of isoprene to quench excess electrons at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by addition of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solid, gave a clear solution. Removal of the condenser allowed the evaporation of the ammonia under a slow stream of Ar. The residue was dissolved in ether, washed several times with water, and dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The resulting oil was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent to afford $319 \mathrm{mg}(83 \%)$ of 28: IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 1736,1706 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 3.99-3.79 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 3.72 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 3.5-3.35 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.48-0.5(40 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 213.40(\mathrm{e})$, 175.53 (e), 108.33 (e), 64.71 (e), 52.38 (o), 51.77 (o), 49.45 (o), 47.95 (e), 44.88 (e), 38.72 (e), 38.11 (e), 35.55 (e), 29.45 (e), 27.68 (e), 24.40 (e), 13.92 (e), 10.41 (e), 7.4 (e); MS (EI) $m / z(\mathrm{M}+1) 573,515$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ found 569.2590 (calcd 569.2601 ). Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Sn}$ : C, $56.75 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.47 ; \mathrm{Sn}, 20.78$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 56.95$; H, 8.84; Sn, 20.48 .

Tricyclic Distannane 32. To 3 mL of methanol was slowly added freshly cut sodium metal ( $4.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.182 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After the sodium had completely reacted, enone 10 ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the yellowish solution was heated to reflux. Stannyl enone 4 c ( $78.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ mmol ) was added dropwise over 4 h . Heating at reflux was continued for 8 more hours. The resulting solution was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The aqueous layer was separated, acidified with $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ to pH 3 , and extracted with EtOAc, and the organic layer was evaporated to give a pale-yellow oil. This residue was methylated with excess diazomethane (prepared from diazald) and then purified by column chromatography ( $15 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to afford 10 mg ( $11 \%$ ) of 32 and starting material $\mathbf{1 0 c}(>75 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 3.9-4.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.7(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q})$, 2.7-0.7 (remaining $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm}$ ); MS (CI) $m / z(\mathrm{M}+1) 925,637,579$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Sn}_{2}$ (M) found 919.3934 (calcd 919.3970).
Bicyclic Methoxymethyl Stannane 37. To enone 10 c ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol ) in 5 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added triethylamine ( $10 \%$ of solvent) followed by TBDMSOTf ( $0.045 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.198 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise. After 2 h , TLC showed no starting material left. The mixture was poured into 10 mL of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and extracted twice with 5 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel using $10 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent to afford $120 \mathrm{mg}(98 \%)$ of the two-regioisomeric product 34 . To silyl enol ether ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added an excess of LAH, and the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature over 8 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled back to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and Gaubler's salt was added until a white precipitate formed. The solid was filtered off and washed with THF. Concentration in vacuo allowed the isolation of the desired alcohol 35. The crude mixture containing alcohol 35 was dissolved in 5 mL of THF and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Excess NaH (Aldrich) was added to the solution. After 10 min , methyl iodide (Malinckrodt, excess) was added and the reaction mixture warmed to room temperature. TLC showed the reaction to be complete after 30 min . After 3 h , the reaction mixture was cooled back to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ether, and the combined organic layer was dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. Column chromatography ( $5 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) allowed isolation of the two-regioisomeric product 36 ( 84 mg , $70 \%$ ). Major isomer: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.3(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}), 4.05-$ $3.8(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}), 3.3(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}), 2.6-0.7$ (remaining $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cm}$ ), $0.15(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Tetra $-n$-butylammonium fluoride (TBAF) (Aldrich, $0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF was added to a solution of $\mathbf{3 6}(\mathbf{7 0} \mathrm{mg}, 0.11$ mmol ) in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was allowed to react for 1 hat $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then poured into water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organiclayer was washed with saturated NaCl solution and then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel, using $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane as eluent, to afford 36.5 mg of 37 (60\%): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.05-3.9(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.63(1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, d), $3.33(1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OV}, \mathrm{dd}), 3.327(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.8-0.64(39 \mathrm{H}$, cm ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 199.32$ (e), 149.93 (e), 137.50 (e), 108.31 (e), 73.47 (e), 64.66 (e), 64.15 (e), 59.15 (o), 42.07 (e), 41.47 (e), 34.61 (e), 33.75 (e), 32.65 (e), 29.32 (e), 27.65 (e), 26.29 (e), 13.67 (o), 10.13 (e), 9.16 (e); MS (CI) $m / z(\mathrm{M}+1) 557,499$; exact mass for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{M})$ found 555.2636 (calcd 555.2649 ).
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